Committee: Performance and Audit Agenda Item

Date: 17 November 2011

Title: Quarter 2 Performance 2011/12

Author: Richard Auty, Assistant Director Corporate Item for information

**Services** 

### Summary

1. This report presents the Q2 performance for all indicators collected quarterly and bi-annually.

#### Recommendations

2. None

## **Financial Implications**

3. None. There are no costs associated with this report.

## **Background Papers**

4. None

#### **Impact**

5.

Communication/Consultation	None	
Community Safety	None	
Equalities	None beyond service improvement on the equality and diversity performance indicators	
Health and Safety	None beyond service improvement on the health and safety performance indicators	
Human Rights/Legal Implications	None	
Sustainability	None	
Ward-specific impacts	None	
Workforce/Workplace	None	

#### Situation

- 6. Attached as Appendix A are the Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) and Performance Indicators (PIs) for Quarter 2 of 2011/12 (1 July to 30 September).
- 7. The council is monitoring 15 KPIs which demonstrate the corporate health of the authority and a further 34 PIs.
- 8. For all indicators measured on a quarterly basis, the performance for the current quarter and the previous three quarters is shown. Some indicators are collected annually or bi-annually.
- 9. Attached at Appendices B and C are responses from the relevant Cabinet Members following concerns expressed by the committee during the review of Quarter 1 performance.
- 10. Each quarter, prior to the report going to the committee, the Corporate Management Team (CMT) reviews the indicators.
- 11. For quarter 2 2011/12, CMT notes the improvement in performance around the Benefits service and continued good performance in areas such as invoice processing. It continues to closely monitor void properties and missed bins.

# **Risk Analysis**

12.

Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Mitigating actions
That performance indicators will not meet quarterly/ annual targets	2 – The majority of Performance Indicators perform on or above target	3 – In some areas the risk of not meeting targets could impact on areas such as customer satisfaction and statutory adherence to government led requirements	Performance is monitored by SMB and the committee on a quarterly basis. Inclusion of four quarters of data help identify trends.

<sup>1 =</sup> Little or no risk or impact

<sup>2 =</sup> Some risk or impact – action may be necessary.

<sup>3 =</sup> Significant risk or impact – action required

<sup>4 =</sup> Near certainty of risk occurring, catastrophic effect or failure of project.